

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

ScienceDirect

journal homepage: www.ajgonline.org

Special Issue Article

The Human Rights of Older People With Mental Health Conditions and Psychosocial Disability to a Good Death and Dying Well

**Carmelle Peisah, M.B.B.S. (Hons), M.D., F.R.A.N.Z.C.P.,
Elizabeth L. Sampson, M.B.Ch.B., M.D.,
Kiran Rabheru, M.D., C.C.F.P., F.R.C.P., D.A.B.P.N.,
Anne Wand, M.B.B.S., P.H.D., F.R.A.N.Z.C.P., Maria Lapid, M.D.**

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received May, 20 2021

Accepted May, 22 2021

Key Words:

Death
dying
end of life
human rights
mental illness
dementia
intellectual disability

ABSTRACT

The human right to a good death and dying well is as important as the right to life. At stake at the end of life are human rights to dignity, autonomy, self-determination and respect for will and preferences, equitable access to quality health care that is needs-based, and respect for family and relationships. Older people with dementia, those with serious mental illness, and those with intellectual disability are vulnerable to “bad deaths” due to violations of these rights. In this paper we explore why this is so and examine existing and potential solutions. A human rights-approach to end-of-life care and policy for older persons with mental health conditions and psychosocial disability is one that is needs-based, encompassing physical and mental health, palliative care, social, and spiritual support services provided in the context of inclusive living. Most importantly, end of life care must be self-determined, and not “one size fits all.” An important remedy to existing violations is to strengthen human rights frameworks to cater specifically to older persons’ needs with a UN convention on the rights of older persons. Finally, as health professionals we have important contributions to make at the coalface by accepting our responsibilities in the area of death and dying. With the concept of the palliative psychiatrist gaining traction and recognition that death is our business, we add that human rights is also our business. (Am J Geriatr Psychiatry 2021; ■■■:■■■-■■■)

From the School of Psychiatry, Faculty Medicine & Ageing Futures Institute, University of New South Wales, Capacity Australia (CP), Sydney, Australia; Marie Curie Palliative Care Research Unit, Division of Psychiatry, University College London (ELS), London, United Kingdom; University of Ottawa (KR), Ottawa, Ontario, Canada; Specialty of Psychiatry, Faculty Medicine and Health, University of Sydney (AW), Sydney, Australia; Discipline of Psychiatry, Faculty of Medicine, University of New South Wales (AW), New South Wales, Australia; and the Department of Psychiatry and Psychology, Mayo Clinic (ML), Rochester, MN. Send correspondence and reprint requests to Carmelle Peisah, M.B.B.S. (Hons), M.D., F.R.A.N.Z.C.P., New South Wales School of Psychiatry, Faculty Medicine & Ageing Futures Institute, Kensington, Sydney, Australia. e-mail: cpeisah62@bigpond.com

© 2021 American Association for Geriatric Psychiatry. Published by Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jagp.2021.05.015>

Highlights

- What is the primary question addressed by this study?—We explore why older people with mental health conditions and psychosocial disabilities are vulnerable to human rights violations at the end of life and examine existing and potential solutions.
- What is the main finding of this study?—Key to best practice end of life care are the actualization of human rights to dignity, autonomy, self-determination and respect for will and preferences, equitable access to quality health care that is needs-based, and respect for family and relationships. Older people with dementia, those with serious mental illness, and those with intellectual disability are variably afforded these rights at the end of life.
- What is the meaning of the finding?—An integrated care model that encompasses physical and mental health, palliative care, social and spiritual support must be on offer, while recognizing that good dying is needs-based and self-determined.

The human right to a good death and dying well is as important as the right to life. However, what constitutes a “good death” remains elusive, varies from individual to individual, depending on whose perspective it is viewed from, the person, the family or the health professional.^{1–3} In 1997, the Institute of Medicine (now National Academy of Medicine) defined a “decent or good death” as one “free from avoidable distress and suffering for patients, families, and caregivers; in general accord with patients’ and families’ wishes; and reasonably consistent with clinical, cultural, and ethical standards;” and a “bad death” as characterized by “needless suffering, disregard for patient or family wishes or values, and a sense among participants or observers that norms of decency have been offended.”⁴

The divergent perspectives on these concepts mandates a person-centered, self-determined approach⁵ at the core of human rights to autonomy, self-determination and respect for will and preferences. However, equally important at end of life are human rights to dignity, equitable access to highest attainable standards of health (including respecting needs arising on account of disability) and respect for family and relationships.^{6–8} As articulated in the Convention on Rights of Persons with Disabilities (CRPD),⁹ actualization of these rights and the enjoyment of a positive death are equally owed to persons with disability, including older people with mental health conditions and those living in care settings, who are especially vulnerable to human rights violations. In this paper, we outline why this is so and explore potential for enjoyment of human rights to positive dying for older

people with mental health conditions and psychosocial disabilities. We conclude with recommendations to drive future care and policy in this area.

Why are older people with mental health disorders vulnerable to experiencing bad deaths? Although just as with good deaths, a bad death for one person is not a bad death for another,⁵ usually bad deaths are those that come as a surprise due to lack of communication with health practitioners and lack of advance care planning (ACP), and are associated with unrelieved symptoms and suffering, and unmet needs.^{10–12} With regards to surprises, there has been a concerted effort to get conversations started regarding end of life for people with dementia for over 20 years. For example, The Gold Standard Framework, developed the Surprise Question (Would you be surprised if this patient were to die in the next 12 months?) to facilitate discussions between health professionals and persons with dementia and their carers to assess needs, symptoms and preferences to plan care.¹³

A range of initiatives encouraging ACP in care settings including early timely discussions, upskilling of staff and family conferencing have flourished, giving voice to people with dementia at the end of life and challenging paternalistic assumptions that they lack capacity to do so.^{10,14,16–18} Aligned with Article 12 of the CRPD, those with impaired capacity have been afforded supported decision making.^{19–21} Further hope is inspired by initiatives to increase access to and optimize palliative care in long-term care^{12,22–25} and to address suffering and symptom relief, including management of delirium and pain in both care and acute hospital settings.^{26–30}

Providing quality death and dying for older persons with mental illness is another matter. If we consider that persons with dementia, particularly those in care settings, are at risk of suboptimal end-of-life care,^{31,32} efforts for those with severe and chronic mental illness are almost non-existent.³³ Despite poorer physical health and increased and premature mortality rates compared to the general population,^{34,35} people with severe or chronic mental illness have less access to palliative care and mental health services, and parlous rates of ACP.^{36,37} These are clear violations of human rights to dignity (Articles 1 and 3, CRPD) autonomy (Article 12) and equitable access to health (Article 25).

Acknowledging rights to autonomy, self-determination and respect for will and preferences, people with severe and chronic mental illness have been “utterly neglected” in efforts to promote ACP³⁸ and their needs and desires with regards to end of life care ignored.³⁹ This is often compounded by lack of understanding amongst physicians about capacity and human rights, including the common law presumption of capacity for all adults regardless of disability, and the assessment of capacity for end of life decision making.⁴⁰ Yet people with severe mental illness can give voice to end of life preferences⁴¹ and are equally owed rights to self-determination.⁴²

Systemic barriers to the enjoyment of human rights fueled by discrimination based on ageism and “mentalism”⁷ exist across health and mandate systemic solutions. Such solutions include public mental health initiatives such as the “*Do It Your Way*” project, which integrates service delivery components such as stakeholder coalition, research, education, training and outreach for providers and patients to improve access to ACP and end-of-life care for persons with serious mental illness.⁴³ Sometimes positive discrimination associated with frailty, often comorbid with serious mental illness,⁴⁴ can serve human rights. Frailty agenda in care services can serve as useful levers to ensure equitable access to both quality care and advance planning. For example, in the United Kingdom, frailty pathways, particularly those underpinned by the Comprehensive Geriatric Assessment⁴⁵ often include ACP and recognition that someone may be reaching end of life.

These complexities are echoed for older people with intellectual disability (ID) living in community

care, often exposed to death and dying yet rarely given opportunity to express end of life will and preferences.^{46,47} Assumption of lack of capacity by clinicians⁴⁸ based on often partial understanding about the end of life amongst older people with ID⁴⁹ compounded by communication difficulties,⁵⁰ mean that they are often totally excluded from end of life decision-making.⁵¹ However, burgeoning research supports a more nuanced, supported approach to end of life care and decision-making for people with ID. For example, in care settings, understanding client responses to the death of other clients, which often fuel anticipatory grief reactions regarding their own death, provide opportunities to talk about death and dying.^{49,52} Weise et al.⁴⁹ identified opportunities to engage in such discussions including 'when family die', 'incidental opportunities', 'when clients live with someone who is dying' and 'when a client is dying'. These are all opportunities for supported decision making. Death with dignity and autonomy in a place of one's choice is equally owed to people with ID.⁵²

CONCLUSIONS

It goes without saying that bad deaths are bad for the dying person, but equally, bad deaths are bad for the mental health of families,⁵³ and for the moral distress of staff.⁵⁴ The experience of death and dying for many older people with mental health conditions has constituted elder abuse by neglect, and violated rights to be protected against abuse or torture and cruel, degrading treatment (Articles 15 and 16 CRPD). Medical assistance in dying (also referred to as Voluntary Assisted Dying, VAD) is not the solution. VAD should not be used as a substitute for humane end of life care, nor should it be a means for relieving family burden.⁵⁵ These violations have been worsened by the “tsunami of suffering” associated with the COVID pandemic, where quality dying has gone by the wayside.⁵⁶ Access to palliative care has been curtailed by demands on health systems, support from loved ones restricted, and nuanced ACP abandoned in favor of hasty and pragmatic “signing people up” with not for resuscitation orders to assist with triaging.⁶

However, many of the initiatives we have described above suggest hope. None of these challenges to quality dying are insurmountable. We offer the following human rights-based recommendations

The Human Rights of Older People With Mental Health Conditions and Psychosocial

to guide care and policy for older persons with mental health conditions and psychosocial disability approaching the end of life:

1. An integrated care model that encompasses physical and mental health, palliative care, social and spiritual support must be on offer, while recognizing that good dying is needs-based and self-determined, i.e., based on what the person needs and wants at the end-of-life;⁵⁷
2. The model of care must be provided in a context of inclusive living options and a hierarchy of self-determined care environments, not “one-size fits all”⁵⁸
3. Health care systems must expand their focus of attention from current acute medical and surgical based models for older persons requiring end of life care to holistic chronic care models that optimize quality of life;
4. VAD is a complex and deeply personal issue. Governments must be committed to ensuring that laws governing VAD meet evolving needs, autonomy and freedom of choice, and right to safeguarding of those who are vulnerable. It is equally incumbent upon clinicians involved in capacity assessment for VAD to be mindful of these human rights obligations⁵⁹

5. Develop and implement human rights-based policies and programs in the community and in health care to combat ageism and mentalism. Strengthen human rights frameworks to cater specifically to older persons’ needs by supporting a UN convention on the rights of older persons.⁷

Our best contributions as health professionals can be made at the coalface by accepting our responsibilities in the area of death and dying. The concept of the “palliative psychiatrist” (i.e. with palliative care skills) is receiving traction^{60,61} as we increasingly recognize that death is our business.⁶² We would add that human rights is our business.⁷

AUTHORS’ CONTRIBUTION

All authors have made contributions to the conception, drafting, editing, revision for intellectual content and final approval of the manuscript and have agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

DISCLOSURES

None of the authors have any conflicts to declare.

References

1. Kupeli N, Candy B, Tamura-Rose G, et al: Tools measuring quality of death, dying, and care, completed after death: systematic review of psychometric properties. *Patient* 2019; 12 (2):183-197
2. Steinhauer KE, Christakis NA, Clipp EC, et al: Factors considered important at the end of life by patients, family, physicians, and other care providers. *JAMA* 2000; 284(19): 2476-2482
3. Meier EA, Gallegos JV, Thomas LP, et al: Defining a good death (Successful Dying): literature review and a call for research and public dialogue. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry* 2016; 24(4):261-271
4. Field MJ, Cassel CK, eds. *Approaching Death: Improving Care at the End of Life*, Washington, DC: National Academy Press, 1997. www.nap.edu/read/5801/chapter/1. Accessed April 25, 2021
5. Vig EK, Davenport NA, Pearlman RA: Good deaths, bad deaths, and preferences for the end of life: a qualitative study of geriatric outpatients. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2002; 50:1541-1548
6. Lapid MI, Koopmans R, Sampson EL, et al: Providing quality end of life care to older people in the era of COVID-19: perspectives from five countries. *Int Psychogeriatr* 2020; 32 (11):1345-1352
7. Peisah C, de Mendonça Lima C, Verbeek H, et al: IPA and WPA-SOAP joint statement on the rights of older persons with mental health conditions and psychosocial disabilities. *Int Psychogeriatr* 2021; 1-5;doi:10.1017/S1041610221000454, Online ahead of print.
8. Peisah C, Byrnes A, Doron I, et al: Advocacy for the human rights of older people in the COVID pandemic and beyond: a call to mental health professionals. *Int Psychogeriatr* 2020; 32:1199-1204
9. CRPD: Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities. United Nations: Office of the High Commissioner, Human Rights, 2006. <https://www.ohchr.org/EN/HRBodies/CRPD/Pages/ConventionRightsPersonsWithDisabilities.aspx>. Accessed April 30, 2020
10. Livingston G, Lewis-Holmes E, Pitfield C, et al: Improving the end-of-life for people with dementia living in a care home: an intervention study. *Int Psychogeriatr* 2013; 25(11):1849-1858
11. Sampson EL, Candy B, Davis S, et al: Living and dying with advanced dementia: a prospective cohort study of symptoms, service use and care at the end of life. *Palliat Med* 2018; 32 (3):668-681
12. Murphy E, Froggatt K, Connolly S, et al: Palliative care interventions in advanced dementia. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2016; 12(12):CD011513
13. Thomas K: *Gold Standards Framework: A Programme for Community Palliative Care*. London: Department of Health, 2005. Available at: www.gsflerning.co.uk/dementia/GoodPractice-Guide.pdf. Accessed April 20, 2021

14. Mitchell G: Palliative and end-of-life decision-making in dementia care. *Int J Palliative Nurs* 2015; 21(11):536-541
15. Gilissen J, Pivodic L, Gastmans C, et al: How to achieve the desired outcomes of advance care planning in nursing homes: a theory of change. *BMC Geriatr* 2018; 18:47;doi:10.1186/s12877-018-0723-5
16. Moore KJ, Davis S, Gola A, et al: Experiences of end of life amongst family carers of people with advanced dementia: longitudinal cohort study with mixed methods. *BMC Geriatr* 2017; 17(1):135
17. Ryan T, Amen KM, McKeown J: The advance care planning experiences of people with dementia, family caregivers and professionals: a synthesis of the qualitative literature. *Ann Palliat Med* 2017; 6(4):380-389
18. Brazil K, Carter G, Cardwell C, et al: Effectiveness of advance care planning with family carers in dementia nursing homes: a paired cluster randomized controlled trial. *Palliat Med* 2018; 32(3):603-612
19. Peterson A, Karlawish J, Largent E: Supported decision making with people at the margins of autonomy. *Am J Bioeth* 2020; 29:1-21
20. Sinclair C, Gersbach K, Hogan M, et al: A real bucket of worms": views of people living with dementia and family members on supported decision-making. *J Bioeth Inq* 2019; 16(4):587-608
21. Peisah C, Sorinmadeayo D, Mitchell L, et al: Decisional capacity: towards an inclusionary approach The International Psychogeriatric Association Task Force on Capacity. *Int Psychogeriatr* 2013; 25(10):1571-1579
22. Froggatt K: Palliative care development in european care homes and nursing homes: application of a typology of implementation. *J Am Med Dir Assoc* 2017; 18;doi:10.1016/j.jamda.2017.02.016, 550 e557-550 e514
23. Froggatt KA, Moore DC, Van den Block L, et al: Palliative care implementation in long-term care facilities: European Association for palliative care white paper. *J Am Med Dir Assoc* 2020; doi:10.1016/j.jamda.2020.01.009
24. Palliative Care for Older People in Care and Nursing Homes in Europe (PACE) Project (2019). Palliative care for dignity in old age: addressing the needs of older people in long-term care facilities in Europe. Available at: <http://www.eupace.eu/publication/pace-policy-recommendations-palliative-care-dignity-old-age>
25. van der Steen JT, Radbruch L, Hertogh CM, et al: White paper defining optimal palliative care in older people with dementia: a Delphi study and recommendations from the European Association for Palliative Care. *Palliat Med* 2014; 28:197-209
26. De Witt Jansen B, Brazil K, Passmore P, et al: Nurses' experiences of pain management for people with advanced dementia approaching the end of life: a qualitative study. *J Clin Nurs* 2017; 26(9-10):1234-1244
27. De Witt Jansen B, Brazil K, Passmore P, et al: Evaluation of the impact of telementoring using ECHO© technology on healthcare professionals' knowledge and self-efficacy in assessing and managing pain for people with advanced dementia nearing the end of life. *BMC Health Serv Res* 2018; 18(1):228
28. Feast AR, White N, Lord K, et al: Pain and delirium in people with dementia in the acute general hospital setting. *Age and Ageing* 2018; 47(6):841-846
29. Kunz M, de Waal MWM, Achterberg WP, et al: The Pain Assessment in Impaired Cognition scale (PAIC15): a multidisciplinary and international approach to develop and test a meta-tool for pain assessment in impaired cognition, especially dementia. *Eur J Pain* 2020; 24(1):192-208
30. Peisah C, Weaver J, Wong L, et al: Silent and suffering: a pilot study exploring gaps between theory and practice in pain management for people with severe dementia in residential care facilities. *Clin Interventions Ageing* 2014; 9:1767-1774
31. Sachs GA, Shega JW, Cox-Hayley D: Barriers to excellent end-of-life care for patients with dementia. *J Gen Intern Med* 2004; 19:1057-1063
32. Erel M, Marcus EL, Dekeyser-Ganz F: Barriers to palliative care for advanced dementia: a scoping review. *Ann Palliat Med* 2017; 6(4):365-379;doi:10.21037/apm.2017.06.13, PMID:28754048
33. Woods A, Willison K, Kington C, et al: Palliative care for people with severe persistent mental illness: a review of the literature. *Can J Psychiatry* 2008; 53(11):725-736
34. Happell B, Platania-Phung C, Webster S, et al: Applying the World Health Organization Mental Health Action Plan to evaluate policy on addressing co-occurrence of physical and mental illnesses in Australia. *Aust Health Rev* 2015; 39(4):370-378
35. Walker ER, McGee RE, Druss BG: Mortality in mental disorders and global disease burden implications: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA Psychiatry* 2015; 72(4):334-341
36. Shalev D, Fields L, Shapiro PA: A staggered edge: end-of-life care in patients with severe mental illness. *Gen Hosp Psychiatry* 2017; 44:1-3
37. Cai X, Cram P, Li Y: Origination of medical advance directives among nursing home residents with and without serious mental illness. *Psychiatr Serv* 2011; 62(1):61-66
38. Appelbaum PS: Advance directives: stigma strikes again. *Psychiatr Serv* 2005; 56(5):515
39. Foti ME, Bartels SJ, Merriman MP, et al: Medical advance care planning for persons with serious mental illness. *Psychiatr Serv* 2005; 56(5):576-584
40. O'Neill N, Peisah C: Capacity and the Law. 3rd ed Australasian Legal Information Institute (AustLII) Communities, 2019. <http://austlii.community/wiki/Books/CapacityAndTheLaw/>
41. Elie D, Marino A, Torres-Platas SG, et al: End-of-life care preferences in patients with severe and persistent mental illness and chronic medical conditions: a comparative cross-sectional study. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry* 2018; 26(1):89-97
42. Trachsel M: The ethical importance of assessing end-of-life care preferences in patients with severe and persistent mental illness. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry* 2018; 26(1):98-99
43. Foti ME: Do It Your Way": a demonstration project on end-of-life care for persons with serious mental illness. *J Palliat Med* 2003; 6(4):661-669
44. Royal College of Psychiatrists Frailty: Ensuring the best outcomes for frail older people PS02/20. Available at: www.rcpsych.ac.uk/docs/default-source/improving-care/better-mh-policy/position-statements/ps02_20.pdf?sfvrsn=65bb8710_2.
45. Parker SG, McCue P, Phelps K, et al: What is comprehensive geriatric assessment (CGA)? An umbrella review. *Age Ageing* 2018; 47(1):149-155
46. Wiese M, Stancliffe RJ, Balandin S, et al: End-of-life care and dying: issues raised by staff supporting older people with intellectual disability in community living services. *J Appl Res Intellect Disabil* 2012; 25(6):571-583
47. Wiese M, Dew A, Stancliffe RJ, et al: 'If and when?': the beliefs and experiences of community living staff in supporting older people with intellectual disability to know about dying. *J Intellect Disabil Res* 2013; 57(10):980-992

The Human Rights of Older People With Mental Health Conditions and Psychosocial

48. Kirkendall AM, Linton K, Farris S: Intellectual disabilities and decision making at end of life: a literature review. *J Appl Res Intellect Disabil* 2017; 30(6):982-994
49. Wiese M, Stancliffe RJ, Dew A, et al: What is talked about? Community living staff experiences of talking with older people with intellectual disability about dying and death. *J Intellect Disabil Res* 2014; 58(7):679-690
50. Tuffrey-Wijne I, McEnhill L: Communication difficulties and intellectual disability in end-of-life care. *Int J Palliat Nurs* 2008; 14(4):189-194
51. Bekkema N, de Veer AJ, Wagemans AM, et al: Decision making about medical interventions in the end-of-life care of people with intellectual disabilities: a national survey of the considerations and beliefs of GPs, ID physicians and care staff. *Patient Educ Couns* 2014; 96(2):204-209
52. Guerin S, O'Riordan D, Boland G, et al: Bereavement, Grief Reactions and End of Life. In: Prasher VP, Davidson PW, Santos FH, eds. *Mental Health, Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities and the Ageing Process*, Springerlink, 2021: 147-161;doi:10.1007/978-3-030-56934-1
53. Einiö E, Metsä-Simola N, Saarioja S, et al: Is impending or actual death of a spouse with dementia bad for mental health? Antidepressant use surrounding widowhood. *Eur J Public Health* 2020; 30(5):953-957
54. Muldrew DHL, Kaasalainen S, McLaughlin D, et al: Ethical issues in nursing home palliative care: a cross-national survey. *BMJ Support Palliat Care* 2020; 10(3):e29
55. Wand A, Peisah C, Draper B, et al: The nexus between elder abuse, suicide, and assisted dying: the importance of relational autonomy and undue influence. *Macquarie Law J* 2018; 18:79-92
56. Radbruch L, Knauth FM, de Lima L, et al: The key role of palliative care in response to the COVID-19 tsunami of suffering. *Lancet* 2020, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(20\)30964-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30964-8)
57. Meier EA, Gallegos JV, Montross-Thomas LP, et al: Defining a good death (successful dying): literature review and a call for research and public dialogue. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry* 2016; 24(4):261-271
58. Sorinmade O, Peisah C, Jackman J: Remaining at home with dementia: not 'one size fits all'. *BJPsych Bull* 2018. www.cambridge.org/core/journals/psychiatric-bulletin/article/new-procedure-for-submitting-letters/C32BBDBC94C609037261C8A97D3-BB73C#comments
59. Peisah C, Sheahan L, White B: The biggest decision of them all—death and assisted dying: capacity assessments and undue influence screening. *Intern Med J* 2019; 49(6):792-796
60. Bauer RL: Ethical considerations regarding end-of-life planning and palliative care needs in patients with chronic psychiatric disorders. *Am J Psychiatr Residents' J* 2016; 1(5):4-6
61. Peisah C: The palliative psychiatrist: end of life care in the nursing home (abstract). World Psychiatric Association. Thematic Congress, Innovation in Psychiatry: Effective Interventions for Health and Society, Melbourne, VIC, Australia, 2018.
62. McKellar D, Felicity Ng F, Chur-Hansen A: Is death our business? Philosophical conflicts over the end-of-life in old age psychiatry. *Aging Mental Health* 2016; 20(6):583-593